



Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

Decision

Dispute Codes:

OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

Introduction

This hearing was scheduled in response to the Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution, in which the Landlord has made application for an Order of Possession for Unpaid Rent, a monetary Order for unpaid rent, to retain all or part of the security deposit, and to recover the filing fee from the Tenant for the cost of this Application for Dispute Resolution.

The Landlord stated that copies of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing were sent to the Tenant via registered mail at the address noted on the Application, on January 24, 2009. A Canada Post receipt with a tracking number was submitted. The Canada Post website shows the mail was returned to the sender on February 16, 2009. These documents are deemed to have been served in accordance with section 89 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, however the Tenant did not appear at the hearing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary Order for unpaid rent; to keep all or part of the security deposit; and to recover the filing fee from the Tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 38, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

Background and Evidence

The Landlord stated that this tenancy began on April 01, 2007; that the Tenant is required to pay monthly rent of \$1,050.00; and that the Tenant paid a security deposit of \$500.00 on April 01, 2007.

The Landlord stated that a ten (10) day Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent, which had an effective date of January 14, 2009, was posted on the front door of the

rental unit on January 03, 2009. The Notice indicated that the Tenant is presumed to have accepted that the tenancy is ending and that the Tenant must move out of the rental by the date set out in the Notice unless the Tenant pays the outstanding rent or files an Application for Dispute Resolution within five days of the date they are deemed to have received the Notice.

The Landlord stated that the Tenant still owes \$400.00 in rent from December of 2008, \$1,050.00 in rent from January of 2009, \$1,050.00 in rent from February of 2009, and \$1,050.00 in rent from March of 2009.

Analysis

In the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the Tenant was served with a Notice to End Tenancy that required the Tenant to vacate the rental unit, pursuant to section 46 of the *Act*.

Section 46 of the *Act* stipulates that a tenant has five (5) days from the date of receiving the Notice to End Tenancy to either pay the outstanding rent or to file an Application for Dispute Resolution to dispute the Notice. In the circumstances before me I have no evidence that the Tenant exercised either of these rights and, pursuant to section 46(5) of the *Act*, I find that the Tenant accepted that the tenancy has ended. On this basis I will grant the Landlord an Order of Possession.

In the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the Tenant has not paid rent in the amount of \$400.00 for December of 2008; \$1,050.00 for January of 2009; and \$1,050.00 for February of 2009. As there has been no evidence submitted to show that the Tenant was not required to pay rent for these periods, I find that the Tenant owes \$2,500.00 in unpaid rent.

In the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the Tenant has not paid rent for March of 2009. As the Landlord does not wish the Tenant to remain in the rental unit until the end of March, I will grant the Landlord an Order of Possession that is effective on March 15, 2009, and I find that he is also entitled to rent for fifteen days in March, at a rate of \$33.87 per day, for a total of \$508.05.

I find that the Landlords application has merit, and I find that the Landlord is entitled to recover the filing fee from the Tenant for the cost of this Application for Dispute Resolution.

I find that the Landlord is entitled to retain the Tenant's security deposit plus interest, in the amount of \$513.23, in partial satisfaction of the monetary claim.

Conclusion

The Landlord has been granted an Order of Possession that is effective at 1:00 p.m. on March 15, 2009. This Order may be served on the Tenant, filed with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the Landlord has established a monetary claim, in the amount of \$3,058.08, which is comprised on \$3,008.05 in unpaid rent and \$50.00 in compensation for the filing fee paid by the Landlord for this Application for Dispute Resolution. The Landlord will be retaining the Tenant's security deposit plus interest, in the amount of \$513.23, in partial satisfaction of the monetary claim.

Based on these determinations I grant the Landlord a monetary Order for the balance of \$2,544.82. In the event that the Tenant does not comply with this Order, it may be served on the Tenant, filed with the Province of British Columbia Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

Date of Decision: March 02, 2009
