

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to sections 55(4) and 74(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on July 14, 2009 the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The Landlord submitted a copy of a Canada Post Receipt, with a tracking number, which indicates that the Landlord mailed a package to the rental unit. The Canada Post website shows that this package was mailed on July 14, 2009 but has not yet been picked up by the Tenant.

The Landlord received the Direct Request Proceeding package on July 14, 2009 and initiated service that day. Section 90 of the *Act* determines that a document served by mail is deemed to have been served on the fifth day after it is mailed, which in these circumstances is July 19, 2009.

Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find the Tenant has been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary Order for unpaid rent; to keep all or part of the security deposit; and to recover the filing fee from the Tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 38, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed the following evidence that was submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant.
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement between the Landlord and the Tenant, which is signed by both parties, which indicates that the tenancy began on



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 2

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

February 01, 2007; that at the beginning of the tenancy the Tenant was required to pay monthly rent of \$720.00 on the first day of each month; and that the Tenant paid a security deposit of \$360.00 on January 15, 2007.

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent that was signed on July 03, 2009, which states that the Tenant must vacate the rental unit by July 13, 2009 as he has failed to pay rent in the amount of \$143.00 that was due on July 01, 2009. The Notice states that the tenancy will end unless the Tenant pays the rent or submits an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to set aside the Notice within five days of receiving the Notice.
- A copy of the Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy that indicates that the Landlord posted the Notice on the front door of the rental unit on July 03, 2009 at 1408 hours, in the presence of another Tenant, who signed the Proof of Service.
- A letter from the Landlord which declared that the Tenants currently monthly rent is \$778.00; that \$475.00 in rent was paid for July of 2009; that the Tenant had a credit on his account of \$160.00; and that he still owes \$143.00 in rent for July of 2009.

In the Application for Dispute Resolution the Landlord stated the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent was posted on the door of the rental unit on July 03, 2009.

In the Application for Dispute Resolution, the Landlord stated that the Tenant owes \$143.00, in rent from July of 2009.

Analysis

Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy was posted on the front door of the rental unit on July 03, 2009.

Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord and in the absence of evidence, I find that the Tenant had not paid outstanding rent of \$143.00 that was due on July 01, 2009 by the time the Landlord filed the Application for Dispute Resolution. I have no evidence to show that the Tenant paid the outstanding rent since the Landlord filed the Application for Dispute Resolution, and therefore I find that the Tenant owes rent in the amount of \$143.00.

I have no evidence to show that the Tenant filed an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to set aside the Notice to End Tenancy. Pursuant to section 46(5) of the Act, I



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 3

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

therefore find that the Tenant has accepted that the tenancy ended ten days after the Tenant is deemed to have received the Notice. Tenants are deemed to have received a Notice that is posted on the door three days after it is posted, which in these circumstances is July 05, 2009.

I decline to consider the Landlord's application for anticipated cleaning costs, as the Tenant has until the end of this tenancy to properly clean the rental unit.

Conclusion

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective two days after service on the Tenant. This Order may be served on the Tenant, filed with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the Landlord has established a monetary claim, in the amount of \$193.00, which is comprised on \$143.00 in unpaid rent from July of 2009 and \$50.00 in compensation for the fee paid by the Landlord for filing this Application for Dispute Resolution. The Landlord is hereby authorized to retain \$193.00 from the security deposit that was paid by the Tenant to satisfy this monetary claim.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: July 24, 2009.	
	Dispute Resolution Officer