DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR MNR MNSD FF

<u>Introduction</u>

The Landlord has applied for an Order of Possession, a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, to keep all or part of the security deposit and to recover the cost of the filing fee from the Tenant through the Direct Request Process.

In support of their claim the Landlord has submitted an application for dispute resolution, a copy of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy, and a copy of the tenancy agreement, along with other documentary evidence. I note that the Tenant's first name as listed on the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy and the Landlord's application for dispute resolution is different than the name on tenancy agreement and the proof of service of Notice of Direct Request form.

The purpose of issuing and serving documents under the *Act* is to notify the person being named and served of their breach and notification of their rights under the *Act* in response. The landlord is seeking to end the tenancy due to this breach; however, the landlord has the burden of proving that the Tenant is correctly named and properly served with the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy and an application for dispute resolution.

Based on the above I find that this application does not meet the requirements for the Direct Request process and I hereby dismiss the Landlord's application without leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the Landlord's application without leave to reapply.

Page: 2

This decision is made on authority delegate	ed to me by the Director of the Residential
Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.	
Dated: August 06, 2009.	
	Dispute Resolution Officer
	2.000.000.000