

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNDC, OPR, MNSD, MNR, FF, O

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and to recover the filing fee.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing in person on September 2, 2010 the Tenant did not appear.

The Landlord appeared, gave affirmed testimony and was provided the opportunity to present his evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and make submissions to me.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Has the Tenant breached the Act or tenancy agreement, entitling the Landlord to an Order of Possession and monetary relief?

Background and Evidence

Based on the affirmed testimony of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant was served with a 10 day Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent on August 7, 2010, in person. The Notice informed the Tenant that the Notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The Notice also explains the Tenant had five days to dispute the Notice. I note the effective date indicated on the Notice is September 29, 2010.

The Tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice. The Landlord provided evidence and gave affirmed testimony that the Tenant had not paid all of the rent due and currently owed for July, August and September. The Landlord indicated the Tenant made a partial payment of \$450.00 in August 2010.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

Page: 2

The Tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **2 days** after service on the Tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I did not allow the Landlord to include the month of October 2010 in his claim, as he has not suffered that loss as of the date of the hearing, but allow him to make an Application when such time as that happens.

I find that the Landlord has established a total monetary claim of **\$2,600.00** comprised of **\$2,550.00** in unpaid rent and the \$50.00 fee paid by the Landlord for this application.

I order that the Landlord retain the deposit of \$500.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the Landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$2,100.00.

This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

Conclusion

The Tenant failed to pay rent and did not file to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy. The Tenant is presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice to End Tenancy.

The Landlord is granted an Order of Possession, may keep the security deposit and interest in partial satisfaction of the claim and is granted a monetary order for the balance due of \$2,100.00.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: September 30, 2010.	
	Dispute Resolution Officer