

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR MNR

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on December 21, 2010, the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Canada Post receipts were submitted in the Landlord's evidence. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant has been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order pursuant to section 55 of the *Residential Tenancy Act?*

Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by all parties on August 24, 2009 for a fixed term tenancy effective September 1, 2009 that was set to switch to a month to month tenancy after August 31, 2010. The monthly



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 2

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

rent of \$1,400.00 is due on first day of the month and a deposit of \$700.00 was paid; and

- A copy of the tenancy ledger which indicates the December 1, 2010 rent was paid by cheque the that payment was returned NSF December 7, 2010; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, December 10, 2010 with an effective vacancy date of December 20, 2010 due to \$1,400.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenant was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent via registered mail on December 10, 2010. Canada Post receipts were provided in the Landlord's evidence.

<u>Analysis</u>

Order of Possession - I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the Tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the Landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the Tenant on December 15, 2010, five days after it was mailed, and the effective date of the notice is December 25, 2010, pursuant to section 90 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the Tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice and I hereby grant the Landlord an Order of Possession.

Monetary Order – The evidence supports that the Tenant has failed to pay the December 1, 2010 rent in violation of section 26 of the Act which provides that a tenant



Dated: December 29, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 3

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement. As per the aforementioned I approve the Landlord's request for a Monetary Order as follows:

Unpaid Rent which was due December 1, 2010	\$1,400.00
Any deposits currently held in trust by the Landlord are to be administed	ered in
accordance with Section 38 of the Residential Tenancy Act.	
Conclusion	
I HEREBY FIND that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession	n effective two
days after service on the Tenant. This order must be served on the	Respondent
Tenant and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an ord	ler of that Court.
I HEREBY FIND in favor of the Landlord's monetary claim. A copy of	the Landlord's
decision will be accompanied by a Monetary Order for \$1,400.00. The	e order must be
served on the respondent Tenant and is enforceable through the Provi	incial Court as an
order of that Court.	
This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of t	the Residential
Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.	

Residential Tenancy Branch