

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSD FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the tenant for a double recovery of the security deposit. Despite having been served the notice of hearing and application for dispute resolution by registered mail on October 7, 2011, the landlord did not attend the hearing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to double recovery of the security deposit?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on August 1, 2010. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$300 on August 1, 2010, and then the landlord required the tenant to pay a further \$50 security deposit on May 1, 2011. The tenancy ended on August 31, 2011. The tenant provided the landlord with her written forwarding address on September 2, 2011. The landlord has not returned the security deposit or applied for dispute resolution.

Analysis

Section 38 of the Residential Tenancy Act requires that 15 days after the later of the end of tenancy and the tenant providing the landlord with a written forwarding address, the landlord must repay the security deposit or make an application for dispute resolution. If the landlord fails to do so, then the tenant is entitled to recovery of double the base amount of the security deposit.

In this case, the tenancy ended on August 31, 2011, and the tenant provided her forwarding address in writing on September 2, 2011. The landlord has failed to repay the security deposit or make an application for dispute resolution within 15 days of receiving the tenant's forwarding address in writing. I therefore find that the tenant has

Page: 2

established a claim for double recovery of the security deposit, in the amount of \$700. The tenant is also entitled to recover the \$50 filing fee for this application.

Conclusion

I grant the tenant an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$750. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 19, 2011.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch