



# Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNDC, FF

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for a monetary order. Despite having been served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing via registered mail sent on July 6, 2012, the tenant did not participate in the conference call hearing.

### Issue to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order as claimed?

### Background and Evidence

The landlord's undisputed evidence is as follows. The tenancy began on February 1, 2010 and ended on June 30, 2010. The carpet was soiled at the end of the tenancy and the tenant failed to clean it. The landlord spent \$65.00 on carpet cleaning, which she seeks to recover in addition to the filing fee she paid to bring this application.

### Analysis

I accept the landlord's undisputed testimony and I find that the carpet required cleaning at the end of the tenancy and that the landlord spent \$65.00 on carpet cleaning. I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of carpet cleaning and the cost of the filing fee and I award her \$115.00.

### Conclusion

The landlord testified that she has already retained \$115.00 from the security deposit and that she returned the balance of the deposit to the tenant. I order the landlord to retain the \$115.00 in total satisfaction of her claim.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 13, 2012

---

Residential Tenancy Branch