

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to Section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order due to unpaid rent. A participatory hearing was not convened.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on October 18, 2012 at 5:00 p.m. the landlord served on of the tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding personally and on October 19, 2012 served the other tenant via registered mail. Section 90 of the *Act* states a document sent by mail is deemed served on the 5th day after it is mailed.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenants have been sufficiently served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents pursuant to the *Act*.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent and to a monetary order for unpaid rent, pursuant to Sections 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following documentary evidence:

• A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on July 2, 2009 for a 1 year fixed term tenancy beginning on July 1, 2009 for the monthly rent of \$2,500.00 due on the 1st of each month and a security deposit of \$1,250.00 was paid. The agreement does not indicate if the tenancy would continue on a month to month basis or if the tenants must vacate the rental unit at the end of that fixed term. However there is a notation on page 2 that states "new lease required" but it does not state when or why a new lease would be required. There is an additional handwritten notation dated May 27, 2010 that states "lease extended as is until 30 Sept 2010." This notation is initialed by the landlord and one of the tenants. A further notation states "Rent Increase to \$2,650.00 per month & lease extension to June 30, 2012 signed:" and then there

Page: 2

are no signatures from either party. There is an additional 2nd page indicating a tenancy began on July 1, 2012 for a 1 year fixed term tenancy for a monthly rent of \$2,750.00. The landlord and one of the tenants has initialed on this page 2 that the tenants must vacate the rental unit at the end of the fixed term. There are no signatures in any of these multiple agreements showing the tenants have agreed rent in the amount of \$2,750.00; and

 A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent that was issued on September 21, 2012 with an effective vacancy date of October 5, 2012 due to \$2,750.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates the tenants failed to pay the full rent owed for the month of September 2012 and that the tenants were served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting it to the rental unit door on September 21, 2012 at 2:37 p.m. and that this service was witnessed by a third party.

The Notice states the tenants had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenants did not pay the rent in full or apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and because the tenancy agreement and its subsequent notations and additions submitted by the landlord does not provide evidence of a tenancy agreed to by the tenants that could be confirmed through oral testimony, and because the Direct Request process does not allow an opportunity for any oral testimony to be heard, I find the Direct Request process is not a suitable forum for the adjudication of the landlord's Application.

Conclusion

For the reasons noted above, I dismiss this Application with leave to reapply through a participatory hearing process.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 25, 2012.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch