



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR, MNR

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to sections 55(4) and 74(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the Landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary Order.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on July 11, 2013 the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The Landlord submitted a copy of a Canada Post Receipt, with a tracking number, which corroborates that the Landlord mailed a package to the rental unit. Section 90 of the *Act* determines that a document served by mail is deemed to have been served on the fifth day after it is mailed, which in these circumstances is July 16, 2013.

Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find the Tenant has been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and to a monetary Order for unpaid rent, pursuant to sections 55 and 67 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed the following evidence that was submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant.
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement between the Landlord and the Tenant, which appears to be signed by all parties, that indicates that the tenancy began on April 12, 2013 and that the Tenant was required to pay rent of \$700.00 by the first day of the month, with the exception of the month of April.

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent that was signed by one of the Landlords and is dated July 02, 2013, which declares that the Tenant must vacate the rental unit by July 15, 2013 as the Tenant has failed to pay rent in the amount of \$1,400.00 that was due on June 01, 2013. On the basis of other information provided with this Application for Dispute, I find that this was likely an administrative error and that the Landlord intended to declare that the rent of \$1,400.00 was due on July 01, 2013. The Notice declares that the tenancy will end unless the Tenant pays the rent or submits an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to set aside the Notice within five days of receiving the Notice.
- A copy of a signed Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy in which one of the Landlords declared that the Notice was personally served to the Tenant on July 02, 2013, in the presence of a third party, who also signed the Proof of Service.

In the Application for Dispute Resolution the Landlord declared that the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent was personally served on July 02, 2013 and that the Tenant owes \$1,400.00 in rent for June and July of 2013.

Analysis

On the basis of the undisputed evidence, I find that the Tenant had not paid the rent of \$700.00 that was due on June 01, 2013 or the rent of \$700.00 that was due on July 01, 2013 by the time the Landlord filed this Application for Dispute Resolution. I have no evidence to show that the Tenant paid the outstanding rent since the Application for Dispute Resolution was filed and therefore I find that the Tenant owes rent in the amount of \$1,400.00.

On the basis of the undisputed evidence, I find that a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy was personally served to the Tenant on July 02, 2013, which has an effective date of July 15, 2013. I have no evidence to show that the Tenant filed an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to set aside the Notice to End Tenancy or that he has paid the outstanding rent. Pursuant to section 46(5) of the *Act*, I therefore find that the Tenant has accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. On this basis, I find that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession.

Conclusion

I grant the Landlord an Order of Possession that is effective two days after service on the Tenant. This Order may be served on the Tenant, filed with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

The Landlord has established a monetary claim, in the amount of \$1,400.00, for unpaid rent and I grant the Landlord a monetary Order for this amount. In the event that the Tenant does not comply with this Order, it may be served on the Tenant, filed with the

Province of British Columbia Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 18, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch

