

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPC, MNR, MNSD, MNDC, FF

#### Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an order of possession, for a monetary order for unpaid rent, and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim.

The landlord's agent attended the hearing. As the tenant did not attend the hearing, service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing was considered.

The Residential Tenancy Branch Rules of Procedure states that the respondent must be served with a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing.

The landlord's agent testified the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing were sent by registered mail sent on October 10, 2013, a Canada post tracking number was provided as evidence of service.

Section 90 of the Act determines that a document served in this manner is deemed to have been served five days later. I find that the tenant has been duly served in accordance with the Act.

The landlord's agent appeared gave testimony and was provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions at the hearing.

#### Preliminary matter

At the outset of the hearing the landlord's agent stated the tenant vacated the unit on October 30, 2013, and an order of possession in no longer required.

## Issues to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim?

Page: 2

# Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on February 1, 2013. Rent in the amount of \$1,100.00 was payable on the first of each month. A security deposit of \$525.00 was paid by the tenant. Filed in evidence is a copy of the tenancy agreement.

The landlord's agent testified that the tenant did not pay any rent for September and October 2013. The landlord seeks to recover unpaid rent in the amount of \$2,200.00. Filed in evidence is a rent ledger.

The landlord's agent testified that the tenancy agreement also provided a term that the tenant is responsible to pay a late fee, if rent is not paid on time. The landlord seeks to recover the amount of \$50.00.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

In a claim for damage or loss under the Act or tenancy agreement, the party claiming for the damage or loss has the burden of proof to establish their claim on the civil standard, that is, a balance of probabilities.

To prove a loss and have one party pay for the loss requires the claiming party to prove four different elements:

- Proof that the damage or loss exists;
- Proof that the damage or loss occurred due to the actions or neglect of the Respondent in violation of the Act or agreement;
- Proof of the actual amount required to compensate for the claimed loss or to repair the damage; and
- Proof that the Applicant followed section 7(2) of the Act by taking steps to mitigate or minimize the loss or damage being claimed.

Where the claiming party has not met each of the four elements, the burden of proof has not been met and the claim fails. In this case, the landlord has the burden of proof to prove their claim.

Section 7(1) of the Act states that if a landlord or tenant does not comply with the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement, the non-comply landlord or tenant must compensate the other for damage or loss that results.

Section 67 of the Act provides me with the authority to determine the amount of compensation, if any, and to order the non-complying party to pay that compensation.

Page: 3

Section 26 of the Residential Tenancy Act states:

26 (1) A tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement, whether or not the landlord complies with this Act, the regulations or the tenancy agreement, unless the tenant has a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

The evidence of the landlord's agent was the tenant did not pay any rent for September and October 2013, which is supported by the rent ledger filed as evidence. I find the tenant has breached section 26 of the Act when they failed to pay rent when due under the tenancy agreement and this has caused losses to the landlord.

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of **\$2,300.00** comprised of the unpaid rent for September, October 2013, late fees and the \$50.00 fee paid for this application.

I order that the landlord retain the security deposit and interest of **\$525.00** in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of **\$1,775.00**.

This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

## Conclusion

The landlord is granted a monetary and may keep the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and the landlord is granted a formal order for the balance due.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: November 14, 2013

Residential Tenancy Branch