

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding M'akola Housing Society and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

### DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNSD, O

## Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution seeking an order of possession and a monetary order.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was attended by the landlord's agent.

The landlord testified the tenant was served with the notice of hearing documents and this Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Section 59(3) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)* by registered mail on September 17, 2014 in accordance with Section 89. As per Section 90, the documents are deemed received by the tenant on the 5<sup>th</sup> day after it was mailed.

Based on the testimony of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been sufficiently served with the documents pursuant to the *Act*.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary order for unpaid rent and for all or part of the security deposit, pursuant to Sections 38, 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

#### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following documentary evidence:

• A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on June 10, 2014 for a 3 month fixed term tenancy beginning on June 2, 2014

converting to a month to month tenancy on September 1, 2014 for the monthly rent of \$554.00 due on the 1<sup>st</sup> of each month and a security deposit of \$350.00 was paid; and

• A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent that was issued on August 5, 2014 with an effective vacancy date of August 15, 2014 due to \$564.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates the tenant failed to pay the full rent owed for the month of August 2014 and that the tenant was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting it to the rental unit door on August 5, 2014.

The Notice states the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not pay the rent in full or apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

The landlord submits the tenant paid \$200.00 on August 29, 2014 and that while rent has been paid on her behalf since then for September and October 2014 as of the date of this hearing the tenant owed the landlord \$908.00 in rental arrears.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on August 8, 2014 and the effective date of the notice is amended to August 8, 2014, pursuant to Section 53 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under Section 46(4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find the tenant is conclusively presumed under Section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

#### **Conclusion**

I find the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and be enforced as an order of that Court. I find the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant to Section 67 in the amount of **\$908.00** comprised of \$rent owed. I order the landlord may deduct the security deposit and interest held in the amount of \$350.00 in partial satisfaction of this claim. I grant a monetary order in the amount of **\$558.00**.

This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and be enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 04, 2014

Residential Tenancy Branch