



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      OPR, MNR

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “*Act*”), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent.

The landlords submitted two signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms which declare that on April 16, 2015, the landlord “RS” served the above-named tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. The landlords provided two copies of the Canada Post Customer Receipts containing the Tracking Numbers to confirm these mailings. Section 90 of the *Act* determines that a document served in this manner is deemed to have been received 5 days after service. The Proof of Service form also establishes that the service was witnessed by “HG” and a signature for HG is included on the form.

Based on the written submissions of the landlords, and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants have been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on April 21, 2015, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

### Background and Evidence

The landlords submitted the following evidentiary material:

- Two copies of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenants;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlords and the tenants on August 27, 2014, indicating a monthly rent of \$700.00 due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on September 1, 2014;

- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing during the portion of this tenancy in question, on which the landlords establish that there is unpaid rent owing in the amount of \$500.00 for April 2015;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the Notice) dated April 4, 2015, which the landlords state was served to the tenants on April 4, 2015 for \$500.00 in unpaid rent due on April 1, 2015, with a stated effective vacancy date of April 14, 2015; and
- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice showing that the landlord "GS" served the Notice to the tenants by way of posting it to the door of the rental unit at 7:21 pm on April 4, 2015. The Proof of Service form establishes that the service was witnessed by "DM" and a signature for DM is included on the form.

The Notice restates section 46(4) of the *Act* which provides that the tenants had five days to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the effective date of the Notice. The tenants did not apply to dispute the Notice within five days from the date of service and the landlords alleged that the tenants did not pay the rental arrears.

### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence provided by the landlords. Section 90 of the *Act* provides that because the Notice was served by posting the Notice to the door of the rental unit, the tenants are deemed to have received the Notice three days after its posting. In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants are deemed to have received the Notice on April 7, 2015, three days after its posting.

I find that the tenants were obligated to pay monthly rent in the amount of \$700.00, as established in the tenancy agreement. I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay outstanding rental arrears in the amount of \$500.00 in rent for the month of April 2015. I find that the tenants received the Notice on April 7, 2015. I accept the landlords' undisputed evidence and find that the tenants did not pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act* and did not apply to dispute the Notice within that 5-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice, April 17, 2015.

Therefore, I find that the landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession based on the April 4, 2015 Notice served to the tenants for unpaid rent owing for April 2015

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlords effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant(s). Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 22, 2015

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

