

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

# Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding MACDONALD COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE SERVICES LTD and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

### **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

#### Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an order of possession, and for a monetary order for unpaid.

The landlord's agent attended the hearing. As the tenants did not attend the hearing, service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing was considered.

The landlord's agent testified the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing were served, by registered mail sent on March 3, 2016, Canada post tracking numbers were provided as evidence of service. The Canada post track history shows the packages were successfully delivered to the each tenant on March 4, 2016.

I find that the tenants have been duly served in accordance with the Act.

The landlord's agent, gave testimony and was provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions at the hearing.

#### Issues to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent? Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order?

## Background and Evidence

Based on the testimony of the landlord's agent, I find that the tenants were served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent on February 4, 2016, by registered mail. A Canada post tracking number was provided as evidence, which shows the notice was successfully delivered to the tenants on February 5, 2016.

The notice informed the tenants that the notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The notice also explains the tenants had five days to dispute the notice.

The landlord's agent testified that the tenants did not dispute the notice, and they did not pay the outstanding rent for February 2016, and failed to pay rent for March 2016. The agent stated that they did receive a partial payment of \$2,500.00, in March 2016, and a receipt was issued for use and occupancy.

Page: 2

The landlord seeks an order of possession and a monetary for unpaid rent in the amount of \$7,100.00.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

Based on the above, the testimony, and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The tenants have not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the notice and are therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession, pursuant to section 55 of the Act, effective **two days** after service on the tenants. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of **\$7,200.00** comprised of unpaid rent for February 2016, March 2016, and the \$100.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application. I grant the landlord an order pursuant to section 67 of the Act. This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that court.

#### Conclusion

The tenants failed to pay rent and did not file to dispute the notice to end tenancy. The tenants are presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice to end tenancy.

The landlord is granted an order of possession and a monetary order.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: April 01, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch