

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MDSD & FF

Introduction

The Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the landlord seeks the following:

- a. An Order for Possession for cause
- b. A Monetary Order in the sum of \$6800 for non-payment of rent.
- c. An Order to recover the cost of the filing fee.

A hearing was conducted by conference call in the presence of the applicant and in the absence of the respondent although duly served. On the basis of the solemnly affirmed evidence presented at that hearing, a decision has been reached. All of the evidence was carefully considered.

I find that the 10 day Notice to End Tenancy was served on the Tenant by posting on June 8, 2016. Further I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution/Notice of Hearing was personally served on the Tenant on July 1, 2016. With respect to each of the applicant's claims I find as follows:

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are as follows:

- a. Whether the landlord is entitled to an Order for Possession?
- b. Whether the landlord is entitled to A Monetary Order and if so how much?
- c. Whether the landlord is entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy stated on February 15, 2016. However, the tenants started paying rent pursuant to a written tenancy agreement on March 1, 2016. The rent is \$1700 per month payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant was supposed to pay a security deposit. However, both cheques of \$425 were returned NSF.

The tenant has failed to pay the rent for May 2016, June 2016, July 2016 and August 2016 and the \$6800 remains owing. The tenant(s) have remained in the rental unit.

<u>Analysis - Order of Possession:</u>

Page: 2

I determined the landlord was entitled to an Order for Possession. There is outstanding rent. The Tenant(s) have not made an application to set aside the Notice to End Tenancy and the time to do so has expired. In such situations the Residential Tenancy Act provides the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ends on the effective date of the notice, and must vacate the rental unit by that date. Accordingly, I granted the landlord an Order for Possession on 2 days notice.

The tenant must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, the landlord may register the Order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia for enforcement.

Analysis - Monetary Order and Cost of Filing fee

I determined the tenant has failed to pay the rent for the month(s) of May 2016, June 2016, July 2016 and August 2016 and the \$6800 remains owing. I determined the landlord has given sufficient notice of their intention to claim for all of last month as provided in the Application for Dispute Resolution. I granted the landlord a monetary order in the sum of \$6800 plus the sum of \$100 in respect of the filing fee for a total of \$6900.

It is further Ordered that this sum be paid forthwith. The applicant is given a formal Order in the above terms and the respondent must be served with a copy of this Order as soon as possible.

Should the respondent fail to comply with this Order, the Order may be filed in the Small Claims division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: August 12, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch