

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

#### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on November 22, 2016, the landlord sent the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant has been deemed served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on November 27, 2016, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

# Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

 A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding served to the tenants;

Page: 2

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on January 13, 2012, indicating a monthly rent in the amount of \$1,900.00, for a tenancy commencing on January 13, 2012;
- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during this tenancy; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated November 06, 2016, and posted to the tenant's door on November 06, 2016, with a stated effective vacancy date of November 18, 2016, for \$5,400.00 in unpaid rent.

Witnessed documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenant's door at 2:00 p.m. on November 06, 2016. The 10 Day Notice states that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end.

# <u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant was deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on November 09, 2016, three days after its posting.

I find that the tenant was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,900.00, as per the tenancy agreement. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that 5 day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the 10 Day Notice, November 19, 2016.

Paragraph 13(2) (f) (v) of the *Act* establishes that a tenancy agreement is required to identify "the day in the month, or in the other period on which the tenancy is based, on which the rent is due."

The residential tenancy agreement submitted by the landlord has no date indicating the day in the month on which the rent is due, which is necessary in order to determine the validity of the 10 Day Notice as a landlord cannot ask for rent before the day it is due.

Page: 3

I find that I am not able to determine when the rent for November 2016 is due. For this reason, the monetary portion of the landlord's application, concerning unpaid rent for November 2016, is dismissed with leave to reapply.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary Order in the amount of \$3,600.00, for unpaid rent owing for September 2016 and October 2016, as of November 22, 2016.

## Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord is entitled to a monetary Order in the amount of \$3,600.00 for rent owed for September 2016 and October 2016. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenant must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I dismiss the monetary portion of the landlord's application, concerning unpaid rent for November 2016, with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: November 28, 2016

Residential Tenancy Branch