

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute codes OPR MNR

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for:

- an order of possession for unpaid rent and utilities pursuant to section 55;
- a monetary order for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67;

This application was originally heard by way of a Direct Request Proceeding and on June 1, 2017 an interim decision was issued adjourning the application to be reconvened at a participatory hearing.

The hearing was conducted by conference call. The tenant did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 9:12 a.m. in order to enable the tenant to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:00 a.m. The landlord attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to provide affirmed testimony, present evidence and make submissions.

The landlord testified that on June 6, 2017, a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution including the Notice of Hearing and Interim Decision was sent to the tenant by registered mail. The landlord provided a registered mail tracking number in support of service.

Based on the above evidence, I am satisfied that the tenant was deemed served with the Application for Dispute Resolution, Notice of Hearing and Interim Decision pursuant to sections 89 & 90 of the Act. The hearing proceeded in the absence of the tenant.

Preliminary Issue – Amendment to Landlord's Application

Paragraph 64(3)(c) of the Act allows me to amend an application for dispute resolution.

At the hearing, the landlord testified that the tenant vacated the rental unit as of June 16, 2017. The landlord asked to amend his claim to include outstanding rent in the amount of \$2435.00 that was payable on June 1, 2017. Although the tenant did not have prior notice of this claim, I find that the tenant should reasonably have known that the landlord would suffer this loss if the tenant neither paid rent nor vacated the rental

unit. I therefore allowed the landlord's request for an amendment. The landlord also withdrew the application for an order of possession as the tenant had vacated the rental unit.

<u>Issues</u>

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary award for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on January 1, 2016 with a monthly rent of \$2435.00 payable on the 1st day of each month.

The landlord's monetary claim is for outstanding rent in the amount of \$7305.00. The landlord testified that this includes unpaid rent for the months of April, May and June 2017.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 26 of the Act requires that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement, whether or not the landlord complies with this Act, the regulations or the tenancy agreement, unless the tenant has a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

I accept the landlord's uncontested evidence and claim for outstanding rent of \$7305.00. The landlord is entitled to a Monetary Order in the amount of \$7305.00.

Conclusion

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$7305.00. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 24, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch