



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      OPR, MNR, FF

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on September 27, 2017, the landlord personally served Tenant J.E. the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding. The landlord had Tenant J.E. and a witness sign the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to confirm personal service. Based on the written submission of the landlord and in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I find that Tenant J.E. has been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on September 27, 2017.

The landlord has not provided a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding for Tenant M.E. and has not established that Tenant M.E. has been served with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding. Therefore the landlord's application, naming Tenant M.E. as a respondent, is dismissed with leave to reapply.

I will now hear the landlord's application naming Tenant J.E. as respondent.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and Tenant J.E. on August 5, 2016, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,750.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on August 4, 2016;
- A Monetary Order Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated September 11, 2017, with a stated effective vacancy date of September 21, 2017, for \$1,750.00 in unpaid rent.

Witnessed documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the 10 Day Notice was personally served to Tenant J.E. at 9:00 (a.m. or p.m. not indicated) on September 11, 2017. The 10 Day Notice states that Tenant J.E. had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end.

### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*, I find that Tenant J.E. was duly served with the 10 Day Notice on September 11, 2017.

I find that Tenant J.E. was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,750.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

I accept the evidence before me that Tenant J.E. has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that Tenant J.E. is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, September 21, 2017.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order in the amount of \$1,750.00, the amount claimed by the landlord, for unpaid rent owing for September 2017 as of September 26, 2017.

As the landlord was successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

### Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on Tenant J.E. Should Tenant J.E. and any other occupant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$1,850.00 for rent owed for September 2017 and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and Tenant J.E. must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should Tenant J.E. fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 03, 2017

---

Residential Tenancy Branch