

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding POLO HOTEL LTD POLO HOTEL LTD and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MT, CNR

Introduction

This hearing was scheduled to consider the tenanss' applications pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*") for:

- More time to file an application to cancel the landlord's 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the "10 Day Notice" pursuant to section 66; and
- cancellation of the 10 Day Notice pursuant to section 46.

This matter was set for hearing by telephone conference call at 9:30 am on this date. The line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and the only participant who called into the hearing during this time was the respondent.

Rule 7.3 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

7.3 Consequences of not attending the hearing – If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application with or without leave to reapply.

Therefore, as the applicant did not attend the hearing by 9:40 am, and the respondent appeared and was ready to proceed, I dismiss the tenant's claim without leave to reapply.

Section 55 of the Act provides that:

If a tenant makes an application for dispute resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end a tenancy, the director **must** grant to the landlord an order of possession of the rental unit if

- (a) the landlord's notice to end tenancy complies with section 52 [form and content of notice to end tenancy], and
- (b) the director, during the dispute resolution proceeding, dismisses the tenant's application or upholds the landlord's notice.

A copy of the 10 Day Notice was not initially submitted into evidence. I allowed the landlord to provide a copy of the 10 Day Notice by fax to the Branch. As I have dismissed the tenant's application, and I find that the landlord's 10 Day Notice complies with the form and content requirements of section 52 as it is signed and dated by the landlord, provides the address of the rental unit, the effective date of the notice, and the grounds for the tenancy to end, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession pursuant to section 55. As the effective date of the notice has passed, I issue an Order of Possession effective two (2) days after service.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **2 days after service on the tenants**. Should the tenants or anyone on the premises fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 17, 2017

Residential Tenancy Branch