

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

# Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# **DECISION**

Dispute Codes MNDC MNSD FF

# **Introduction**

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for:

- authorization to obtain a return of all or a portion of the security deposit pursuant to section 38, including double the amount;
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72.

The hearing was conducted by conference call. The landlord did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 1:47 p.m. in order to enable the landlord to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 1:30 p.m. The tenant attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to provide affirmed testimony, to present evidence and to make submissions.

The tenant testified that on August 14, 2017, he personally served the landlord's agent with a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by dropping off a copy for both the landlord and the landlord's agent at the business office for the agent. An address for service to the landlord was not provided in the tenancy agreement but the tenant submitted various e-mails correspondence with the landlord's agent identifying the business address.

Based on the above evidence, I am satisfied that the landlord was served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing pursuant to sections 89 & 90 of the Act. The hearing proceeded in the absence of the landlord.

#### <u>Issues</u>

Is the tenant entitled to a return of all or a portion of the security deposit, including double the amount?

Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord?

# Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on May 1, 2017 and ended the next day on May 2, 2017. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$975.00 at the start of the tenancy which the landlord continues to hold.

The tenant is claiming double the security deposit arguing that the landlord failed to return the security deposit within 15 days of the date the landlord received the tenants forwarding address in writing. The tenant submitted a copy of a letter dated June 18, 2017 as proof of service of a forwarding address. The tenant testified this letter was sent to the landlord's agent by registered mail.

### Analysis

Section 38 of the Act provides that when a tenancy ends, the landlord may only keep a security deposit if the tenant has, at the end of the tenancy, consented in writing, or the landlord has an order for payment which has not been paid. Otherwise, the landlord must return the deposit, with interest if payable, or make a claim in the form of an Application for Dispute Resolution. Those steps must be taken within fifteen days of the end of the tenancy, or the date the tenant provides a forwarding address in writing, whichever is later. A landlord who does not comply with this provision may not make a claim against the deposit and must pay the tenants double the amount of the security deposit, pet deposit, or both, as applicable.

I find the tenant did provide a forwarding address in writing to the landlord. The tenant's security deposit was not refunded within 15 days as required by section 38 of the Act and the doubling provisions of section 38 therefore apply.

I allow the tenant's claim for return of the security deposit and award an amount of \$1950.00, which is double the original security deposit of \$975.00.

As the tenant was successful in this application, I find that the tenant is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application from the landlord for a total monetary award of \$2050.00.

## Conclusion

Page: 3

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the tenant a Monetary Order in the amount of \$2050.00. Should the landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 15, 2018

Residential Tenancy Branch