



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding STROSHIN APARTMENTS  
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPRM-DR FFL

### Introduction

This teleconference hearing was scheduled in response to an application by the Landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent, a Monetary Order for unpaid rent and recovery of the filing fee for this application.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Should an Order of Possession be issued?

Is the Landlord entitled to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent?

### Background and Evidence

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure state that the hearing commences at the scheduled time, unless otherwise determined by the Arbitrator. Rule 7.3 of the Rules of Procedure state that in the absence of a party, the hearing may be conducted in their absence or the dispute dismissed, with or without leave to reapply.

The teleconference hearing was scheduled for 10:30 am on April 26, 2018. I dialed into the hearing at 10:30 am and the phone line was monitored until 10:45 am. No one called in for either party during the 15 minute duration that the conference line was left open. The teleconference codes and call-in numbers were confirmed to be accurate on the Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing.

Analysis

Due to the absence of both parties, I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Application for Dispute Resolution with leave to reapply. This decision does not extend any applicable time limits under the *Residential Tenancy Act*. No findings of fact or law were made with respect to the dispute.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 26, 2018

---

Residential Tenancy Branch