



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding LINCOLN MANOR LTD and  
[tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPRM-DR, FFL

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on January 28, 2019, the landlord sent the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on February 2, 2019, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on October 1, 2015, indicating a monthly rent of \$860.00, due on the last day of each month for a tenancy commencing on October 1, 2015;
- Three copies of Notice of Rent Increase forms showing the rent being increased from \$860.00 to the monthly rent amount of \$955.00;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated December 10, 2018, for \$955.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of December 20, 2018;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenant's door at 5:00 pm on December 10, 2018; and
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy.

### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant was deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on December 13, 2018, three days after its posting.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under sections 46(5) and 53(2) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the 10 Day Notice, December 23, 2018.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent owing as of January 28, 2019.

Section 43 of the *Act* states that a landlord may impose a rent increase only up to an amount calculated in accordance with the regulations, ordered by an Arbitrator, or agreed to by the tenant.

I find that the landlord has raised the rent from \$860.00 to \$885.00 in 2016. In 2016, the maximum allowable increase in accordance with the regulations was 2.9%. I find that 2.9% of \$860.00 is \$24.94 however the landlord increased the rent by \$25.00.

In 2017, I find that the landlord has raised the rent from \$885.00 to \$918.00. In 2017, the maximum allowable increase in accordance with the regulations was 3.7%. I find that 3.7% of \$885.00 is \$32.75 however the landlord increased the rent by \$33.00.

Finally, I find that the landlord has raised the rent from \$918.00 to \$955.00 in 2018. In 2018, the maximum allowable increase in accordance with the regulations was 4.0%. I find that 4.0% of \$918.00 is \$36.72 however the landlord increased the rent by \$37.00.

Therefore, I find that the landlord has not increased the rent in 2016, 2017, or 2018 in accordance with the regulations.

I also find that the landlord has not submitted any evidence to establish whether the landlord received orders from the director or the tenant's written consent to increase the rent above the maximum calculated in accordance with the regulations.

I find that I am not able to determine the precise amount of the monthly rent owing and for this reason the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent is dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the landlord was partially successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

### Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$100.00 for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenant must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 04, 2019

---

Residential Tenancy Branch