

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPUM-DR, FFL

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on April 5, 2019, the landlord sent the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on April 10, 2019, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

Page: 2

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord on April 30, 2018 and the tenant on May 1, 2018, indicating a monthly rent of \$2,800.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on May 1, 2018;
- Four copies of utility bills from the CRD for the rental unit for billing up to August 10, 2018 for \$96.73, October 5, 2018 for \$203.47, November 30, 2018 for \$288.46 and February 8, 2019 for \$421.26;
- A copy of a demand letter from the landlord to the tenant, dated February 5, 2019, requesting payment of utilities in the amount of \$288.46;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Written Demand to Pay Utilities form which indicates that the demand letter was posted to the tenant's door at 5:50 pm on February 5, 2019;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice)
 dated March 13, 2019, for \$461.26 in unpaid utilities. The 10 Day Notice provides
 that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or
 apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective
 vacancy date of March 23, 2019;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenant's door at 6:25 pm on March 13, 2019; and
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy.

Analysis

Section 46(6) of the Act allows a landlord to treat unpaid utilities as unpaid rent if

- (a)a tenancy agreement requires the tenant to pay utility charges **to the** landlord, and
- (b)the utility charges are unpaid more than 30 days after the tenant is given a written demand for payment of them,

Page: 3

I find that the tenancy agreement does not indicate that the tenant is to pay the utilities to the landlord. For this reason, I dismiss the landlord's application to end this tenancy

and obtain an Order of Possession on the basis of the 10 Day Notice dated March 13,

2019, without leave to reapply.

The 10 Day Notice dated March 13, 2019 is cancelled and of no force or effect.

For the same reasons identified in the 10 Day Notice the landlord's application for a

Monetary Order for unpaid utilities is dismissed, with leave to reapply.

As the landlord was not successful in this application, I find that the landlord is not

entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

The landlord's application for an Order of Possession on the basis of the 10 Day Notice

dated March 13, 2019, is dismissed, without leave to reapply.

The 10 Day Notice dated March 13, 2019, is cancelled and of no force or effect.

This tenancy continues until it is ended in accordance with the Act.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid utilities, with leave to

reapply.

I dismiss the landlord's application to recover the filing fee paid for this application,

without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: April 10, 2019

Residential Tenancy Branch