

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR-DR

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 48(4) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on May 16, 2019, the landlord sent to the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 82 and 83 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on May 21, 2019, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 39 and 48 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a manufactured home park tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on October 13, 2011, indicating a monthly rent of \$185.00, due on the first day of each month;
- Seven copies of Notice of Rent Increase forms showing the rent being increased from \$185.00 to the monthly rent amount of \$231.92;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice)
 dated May 3, 2019, for \$232.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides that the
 tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for
 Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date
 of July 1, 2019;

Page: 2

 A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was personally served to the tenant at 6:00 pm on May 3, 2019; and

• A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with section 81 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant was duly served with the 10 Day Notice on May 3, 2019.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 39(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 39(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy will end on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, July 1, 2019.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent as of May 13, 2019.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective on July 1, 2019, after service of this Order on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 22, 2019

Residential Tenancy Branch