



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNL, OLC, PSF, LRE, FFT

Introduction

On December 16, 2019, the Tenant applied for a Dispute Resolution proceeding seeking to cancel a Two Month Notice to End Tenancy for Landlord's Use of Property (the "Notice") pursuant to Section 49 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), seeking an Order to comply pursuant to Section 62 of the *Act*, seeking a provision of services pursuant to Section 62 of the *Act*, seeking to suspend the Landlord's right to enter pursuant to Section 70 of the *Act*, and seeking to recover the filing fee pursuant to Section 72 of the *Act*.

On December 16, 2019, this matter was set down for a Dispute Resolution Hearing on March 5, 2020 at 11:00 AM.

Issue(s) to be Decided

- Is the Tenant entitled to have the Notice cancelled?
- If the Tenant is unsuccessful in cancelling the Notice, is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?
- Is the Tenant entitled to an Order for the Landlord to comply?
- Is the Tenant entitled to a provision of services or facilities?
- Is the Tenant entitled to have the Landlord's right to enter suspended?
- Is the Tenant entitled to recover the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

This hearing was scheduled to commence via teleconference at 11:00 AM on March 5, 2020.

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the Arbitrator. The Arbitrator may conduct

the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

I dialed into the teleconference at 11:00 AM and monitored the teleconference until 11:11 AM. Neither the Applicant nor the Respondent dialed into the teleconference during this time. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that I was the only person who had called into this teleconference.

Analysis

As the Applicant did not attend the hearing by 11:11 AM, I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Application for Dispute Resolution with leave to reapply; however, this does not extend any applicable time limits under the legislation. I have not made any findings of fact or law with respect to the Application.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 6, 2020

Residential Tenancy Branch