



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Proline Management Ltd
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDS-DR, FFT

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenants for a Monetary Order for the return of double the security deposit (the deposit).

The tenants submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 26, 2020, the tenants sent the landlord the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The tenants provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the tenants and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on July 1, 2020, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the tenants entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Are the tenants entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The tenants submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenants on December 27, 2017, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,500.00 and a security deposit of \$750.00, for a tenancy commencing on January 1, 2018;
- A copy of a notice to vacate which was signed by the tenants on April 29, 2020, indicating the tenancy would end as of May 31, 2020 and providing a forwarding address for the return of the security deposit;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Tenant Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit form (Proof of Service of the Forwarding Address) which indicates that the forwarding address was placed in the landlord's drop box on April 30, 2020;
- A copy of an e-mail from the landlord dated June 16, 2020 referencing the move-out inspection and discussing deductions to be made from the deposit; and
- A copy of a Tenant's Monetary Order Worksheet for an Expedited Return of Security Deposit and/or Pet Damage Deposit (the Monetary Order Worksheet). showing the amount of deposit paid by the tenants and indicating the tenants vacated the rental property on May 31, 2020.

Analysis

Section 38(1) of the *Act* states that the landlord has fifteen days from the end of tenancy and the date they received the forwarding address to either return the deposit(s) in full or make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the deposit(s).

Section 38(6) of the *Act* states that if the landlord does not return the deposit(s) or file a claim against them within the fifteen days, the landlord must pay the tenant double the amount of the deposit(s).

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the tenants paid a security deposit in the amount of \$750.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

I accept the following declarations made by the tenant on the Monetary Order Worksheet:

- The tenants have not provided consent for the landlord to keep all or part of the deposit;
- There are no outstanding Monetary Orders against the tenants for this tenancy; and
- The tenants have not extinguished their right to the deposit in accordance with sections 24(1) and 36(1) of the *Act*.

In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord was deemed served with the forwarding address on May 3, 2020, three days after it was placed in the drop box.

I accept that the tenancy ended on May 31, 2020, the day the tenants vacated the rental property, as indicated in the Monetary Order Worksheet.

I accept the evidence before me that the landlord has failed to return the deposit to the tenants and has not filed an Application for Dispute Resolution requesting to retain the deposit by June 15, 2020, within the fifteen days granted under section 38(1) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the landlord must pay the tenants double the amount of the security deposit in accordance sections 38(6) of the *Act*.

Therefore, I find that the tenants are entitled to a monetary award in the amount of \$1,500.00, the amount claimed by the tenants for double the security deposit, as of the date of this application, June 24, 2020.

As the tenants were successful in this application, I find that the tenants are entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the *Act*, I grant the tenants a Monetary Order in the amount of \$1,600.00 for the return of double the security deposit and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The tenants are provided with this Order in the above terms and the landlord must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 07, 2020

Residential Tenancy Branch