



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDS-DR, FFT

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenant for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit (the deposit).

The tenant submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on March 4, 2021, the tenant sent the landlord the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The tenant provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the tenant and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on March 9, 2021, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Is the tenant entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The tenant submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on June 26, 2020, indicating a monthly rent of \$2,000.00 and a security deposit of \$1,000.00, for a tenancy commencing on July 13, 2020
- A copy of an e-mail from the tenant to the landlord dated January 14, 2021 providing the forwarding address and requesting the return of the deposit
- A copy of a Proof of Service Tenant Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit form (Proof of Service of the Forwarding Address) which indicates that the forwarding address was sent to the landlord by e-mail at 11:47 am on January 14, 2021
- A copy of a Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet showing the amount of deposit paid by the tenant and indicating the tenancy ended on December 24, 2020

Analysis

In this type of matter, the tenant must prove that they served the landlord with the forwarding address in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*.

Section 88 of the *Act* allows for service by either sending the forwarding address to the landlord by mail, by leaving a copy with the landlord or their agent, by leaving a copy in the landlord's mailbox or mail slot, attaching a copy to the landlord's door or by leaving a copy with an adult who apparently resides with the landlord.

I find that the tenant has sent the forwarding address by e-mail, which is not a method of service as indicated above. I find that the forwarding address has not been served in accordance with section 88 of the *Act*.

The tenant has also not submitted a copy of a reply e-mail from the landlord or any other evidence to demonstrate that the landlord received the forwarding address, despite not using a method of service permitted by the *Act*.

Therefore, I dismiss the tenant's application for the return of the security deposit based on the forwarding address dated January 14, 2021, without leave to reapply.

If the tenant wants to reapply for the return of the deposit, the tenant may reissue the forwarding address and serve it in one of the ways prescribed by section 88 of the *Act*.

As the tenant was not successful in this application, I find that the tenant is not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

The tenant's application for the return of the security deposit based on of the forwarding address dated January 14, 2021, is dismissed, without leave to reapply.

The tenant's application to recover the filing fee paid for this application is dismissed without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 16, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch