

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding PCPM Ltd. as agent for Primecore Assets GP Inc. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNR

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("the *Act*") for:

 cancellation of the landlord's 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) pursuant to section 46.

While the landlord's agents, NM and NA, attended the hearing by way of conference call, the tenant did not. I waited until 11:10 a.m.to enable the tenant to participate in this scheduled hearing for 11:00 a.m. The landlord's agents were given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. During the hearing, I also confirmed from the online teleconference system that the landlord's agents and I were the only ones who had called into this teleconference.

Rule 7.3 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

7.3 Consequences of not attending the hearing

If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply

Accordingly, in the absence of any submissions in this hearing from the tenant, I order the tenant's entire application dismissed without leave to reapply.

Page: 2

Section 55(1) of the *Act* reads as follows:

(1) If a tenant makes an application for dispute resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end a tenancy, the director must grant to the landlord an order of possession of the rental unit if

- (a) the landlord's notice to end tenancy complies with section 52 [form and content of notice to end tenancy], and
- (b) the director, during the dispute resolution proceeding, dismisses the tenant's application or upholds the landlord's notice.

The landlord's agents testified that the tenant failed to pay the outstanding rent as required by the *Act* and tenancy agreement. The landlord's agents testified that the tenant had only made a partial payment towards the February 2021 rent on February 2, 2021, and paid the remaining \$185.00 on February 27, 2021, more than 5 days after the tenant was served the 10 Day Notice on February 9, 2021. The landlords gave sworn testimony that the tenant was never given permission to make late rent payments, and that rent was payable on the first of every month in the amount of \$800.00 plus \$15.00 for parking.

I find that the 10 Day Notice complies with section 52 of the *Act*. Based on my decision to dismiss the tenant's application for dispute resolution and pursuant to section 55(1) of the *Act*, and as the effective date of the 10 Day Notice has passed, I find that the landlord is entitled to a 2 day Order of Possession. The landlord will be given a formal Order of Possession which must be served on the tenant. If the tenant does not vacate the rental unit within the 2 days required, the landlord may enforce this Order in the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenant's entire application without leave to reapply.

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two (2) days after service on the tenants**. Should the tenant or anyone on the premises fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 18, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch