

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSDS-DR

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenant to obtain monetary compensation for the return of double the security deposit (the deposit).

This decision is written based on the Application for Dispute Resolution, evidence, and submissions provided by the tenant on August 26, 2021.

The tenant submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on September 22, 2021, the tenant sent the landlord the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request by registered mail. The tenant provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the tracking number to confirm this mailing.

Based on the written submissions of the tenant and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the Direct Request Proceeding documents were served on September 22, 2021 and are deemed to have been received by the landlord on September 27, 2021, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The tenant submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

Page: 2

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on March 1, 2020, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,800.00 and a security deposit of \$900.00, for a tenancy commencing on March 4, 2020
- A copy of a letter from the tenant to the landlord dated August 4, 2021, providing the forwarding address and requesting the return of the deposit
- A copy of a Proof of Service Tenant Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit form (Proof of Service of the Forwarding Address) which indicates that the forwarding address was sent to the landlord by registered mail at 12:25 pm on August 4, 2021
- A copy of a Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the tracking number to confirm the forwarding address was sent to the landlord on August 4, 2021
- A copy of a Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet showing the amount of the deposit paid by the tenant and indicating the tenancy ended on November 28, 2020

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the forwarding address was served on August 4, 2021 and is considered to have been received by the landlord on August 9, 2021, five days after its registered mailing.

Section 38(1) of the *Act* states that within fifteen days of the tenancy ending and the landlord receiving the forwarding address, the landlord may either repay the deposit(s) or make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the deposit(s).

I find that the fifteenth day for the landlord to have either returned the deposit or filed for dispute resolution was August 24, 2021.

However, section 90 of the *Act* states that a document sent by regular or registered mail is deemed received on the fifth day after it was sent. If the landlord sent the deposit by mail on their last day, the tenant may not have received the deposit until August 29, 2021.

I find that the tenant applied for dispute resolution on August 26, 2021, before they could have known whether the landlord complied with the provisions of section 38(1) of the *Act*, and that the earliest date the tenant could have applied for dispute resolution was August 30, 2021.

I find that the tenant made their application for dispute resolution too early.

Page: 3

Therefore, the tenant's application for a Monetary Order for the return of double the security deposit is dismissed with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenant's application for a Monetary Order for the return of double the security deposit with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 15, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch