



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) for:

- an order of possession for cause pursuant to section 55;
- an order of possession based on a mutual agreement to end tenancy pursuant to section 55;
- an order of possession based on a breach of an agreement pursuant to section 55;
- a monetary order for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to retain all or a portion of the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary order requested pursuant to section 38;
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant pursuant to section 72.

The hearing was conducted by conference call. The tenant did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 9:47 a.m. to enable the tenant to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:30 a.m. The landlord attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to provide testimony, to present evidence and to make submissions.

The landlord testified that on September 2, 2022, a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing was sent to the tenants by registered mail. The landlord provided registered mail receipts and tracking numbers in support of service.

Based on the above evidence, I am satisfied that the tenants were served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing pursuant to sections 89 & 90 of the Act. The hearing proceeded in the absence of the tenants.

Issues

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession?

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary award for unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to retain all or a portion of the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary award requested?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on November 1, 2020. The current monthly rent is \$975.00 payable on the 1st day of each month. The tenants paid a security deposit of \$487.50 and a pet deposit of \$40.00 at the start of the tenancy which the landlord continues to hold.

The landlord testified that on June 30, 2022 he personally served the tenants with a One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause ("One Month Notice"). A witnessed proof of service of this Notice was provided with the application.

The landlord's monetary claim is for outstanding rent in the amount of \$975.00. The landlord testified that this includes unpaid rent for the months of June and July 2022. The landlord testified he only received half the rent payable from the tenants for these two months.

Analysis

I accept the landlords undisputed testimony and supporting evidence and find that the tenants were personally served with the One Month Notice on June 30, 2022.

Pursuant to section 47 of the *Act*, the tenant may make a dispute application within ten days of receiving the One Month Notice. If, as in the present case, the tenant does not make an application for dispute within ten days, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice, July 31, 2022.

I find that the Notice issued by the landlord complies with the requirements of Section 52 of the *Act*, accordingly, the landlord is granted an Order of Possession pursuant to section 55 of the *Act*.

Section 26 of the *Act* requires that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement, whether or not the landlord complies with this *Act*, the regulations

or the tenancy agreement, unless the tenant has a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

I accept the landlord's uncontested testimony and find the tenants failed to pay rent in full for the months of June and July 2022. The landlord is awarded \$975.00 for unpaid rent as claimed.

As the landlord was successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application for a total monetary award of \$1075.00.

The landlord continues to hold a security deposit and pet deposit in the total of \$527.50. I allow the landlord to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary award pursuant to section 38 of the Act.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to a Monetary Order in the amount of \$547.50.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenants fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$547.50. Should the tenants fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 28, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch