

DECISION

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution (Application) for:

- an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the Act
- a Monetary Order for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the Act (\$2,800.00)
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant pursuant to section 72 of the Act (\$100.00)

Service of Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request

The landlord submitted three signed Proof of Service Landlord's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms which declare that each tenant was served with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding - Direct Request (Proceeding Package) in accordance with section 89 of the Act. The applicant provided a copy of the Canada Post receipt containing three tracking numbers to confirm this service. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with section 90 of the Act:

- I find that Tenant R.R. was served on October 13, 2022, by registered mail, and is deemed to have received the Proceeding Package on October 18, 2022, the fifth day after the registered mailing.
- I find that Tenant L.T. was served on October 13, 2022, by registered mail, and is deemed to have received the Proceeding Package on October 18, 2022, the fifth day after the registered mailing.
- I find that Tenant E.T. was served on October 13, 2022, by registered mail, and is deemed to have received the Proceeding Package on October 18, 2022, the fifth day after the registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent? (\$2,800.00)

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant? (\$100.00)

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord, Tenant R.R., and Tenant L.T. on August 19, 2021, indicating a monthly rent of \$2,800.00, due on the first day of the month for a tenancy commencing on August 20, 2021;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated August 9, 2022, for \$2,800.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of August 24, 2022;
- A copy of a Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was sent to the tenants by registered mail on August 9, 2022;
- A copy of an envelope and a Canada Post tracking report containing the tracking number to confirm the 10 Day Notice was sent to the tenants on August 9, 2022;
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy.

Analysis

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent?

Section 46 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a 10 Day Notice the tenant must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears as indicated on the 10 Day Notice or dispute the 10 Day Notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If the tenant does not pay the arrears or dispute the 10

Day Notice they are conclusively presumed to have accepted the end of the tenancy pursuant to section 46(5) of the Act.

Paragraph 12 (1) (b) of the Residential Tenancy Regulation establishes that a tenancy agreement is required to be “signed and dated by both the landlord and the tenant.”

I find that Tenant E.T. has not signed the tenancy agreement, which is a requirement of the Direct Request process. For this reason, I will only proceed with the portion of the landlord’s application naming Tenant R.R. and Tenant L.T. as respondents.

I find that the Tenant R.R. and Tenant L.T. were obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$2,800.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the Act, I find that the 10 Day Notice was served on August 9, 2022 and is deemed to have been received by Tenant R.R. and Tenant L.T. on August 14, 2022, three days after its posting.

I accept the evidence before me that Tenant R.R. and Tenant L.T. have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the Act and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that Tenant R.R. and Tenant L.T. are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, August 24, 2022.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the Act.

Is the landlord entitled to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent?

Section 26 of the Act requires a tenant to pay rent to the landlord, regardless of whether the landlord complies with the Act, regulations or tenancy agreement, unless the tenant has a right to deduct all or a portion of rent under the Act.

Based on the evidence before me, I find that the landlord has established a claim for unpaid rent owing for August 2022.

Therefore, I find the landlord is entitled to a monetary award in the amount of \$2,800.00, the amount claimed by the landlord for unpaid rent, pursuant to section 67 of the Act.

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant?

As the landlord was partially successful in their application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord **effective two (2) days after service of this Order on Tenant R.R. and/or Tenant L.T.** Should Tenant R.R., Tenant L.T., or anyone on the premises fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of **\$2,900.00** for rent owed for August 2022, and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and Tenant R.R. and/or Tenant L.T. must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should Tenant R.R. and/or Tenant L.T. fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I dismiss the portion of the landlord's application for a Monetary Order naming Tenant E.T. as a respondent, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 16, 2022

Residential Tenancy Branch