

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Housing

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> CNC-MT

Introduction

This hearing was convened as a result of the Tenant's Application for Dispute Resolution ("Application") under the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("Act"), more time to apply to cancel an eviction notice; and to cancel a One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause dated November 22, 2022 ("One Month Notice").

The Landlord appeared at the teleconference hearing, but no one attended on behalf of the Tenant. The teleconference phone line remained open for over ten minutes and was monitored throughout this time. The only person to call into the hearing was the Landlord, who indicated that he was ready to proceed. I confirmed that the teleconference codes provided to the Parties were correct and that the only person on the call, besides me, was the Landlord.

The Landlord said that the Tenant moved out of the residential property at the end of February 2023, and therefore, that he no longer needs an order of possession for the rental unit.

The Tenant was provided with a copy of the Notice of a Dispute Resolution Hearing on December 28, 2022; however, the Tenant did not attend the teleconference hearing scheduled for April 28, 2023, at 9:30 a.m. (Pacific Time).

Rule 7.1 of the Residential Tenancy Branch Rules of Procedure ("Rules") states that the dispute resolution hearing will commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise set by the arbitrator. The Respondent Landlord and I attended the hearing on time and were ready to proceed, and there was no evidence before me that the Parties had agreed to reschedule or adjourn the matter; accordingly, I commenced the hearing at 9:30 a.m. on April 28, 2022, as scheduled.

Rule 7.3 states that if a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the Arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party or dismiss the application, with or without leave to reapply. The teleconference line remained open for

Page: 2

minutes, however, neither the Applicant nor an agent acting on her behalf attended to provide any evidence or testimony for my consideration. As a result, and pursuant to

Rule 7.3, I dismiss the Tenant's Application without leave to reapply.

Conclusion

The Tenant's Application is dismissed without leave to reapply, as the Tenant or an Agent for the Tenant did not attend the hearing to present the merits of the Application. The Respondent Landlord did attend the hearing. Further, the Landlord said that the Tenant has moved out of the residential property, and the Landlord no longer needs and

order of possession.

This Decision does not extend any applicable time limits under the Act.

This Decision will be emailed to the addresses provided by the Tenant in the Application.

This Decision is final and binding on the Parties, except as otherwise provided under the Act, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 28, 2023

Residential Tenancy Branch