

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Housing

A matter regarding SINGLA BROS. HOLDINGS LTD. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNR-MT, FFT

Introduction

Pursuant to section 58 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act), I was designated to hear an application regarding the above-noted tenancy. The tenant applied for:

- cancellation of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities (the Notice) pursuant to section 46;
- an extension of the timeline for disputing the Notice, pursuant to section 66; and
- an authorization to recover the filing fee for this application, under section 72.

I left the teleconference connection open until 9:44 A.M. to enable the landlord to call into this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:30 A.M. The landlord did not attend the hearing. Tenant MP (the tenant) attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. Witness BP also attended. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the tenant, her witness and I were the only ones who had called into this teleconference.

At the outset of the hearing all the parties were clearly informed of the Rules of Procedure, including Rule 6.10 about interruptions and inappropriate behaviour, and Rule 6.11, which prohibits the recording of a dispute resolution hearing. All the parties confirmed they understood the Rules of Procedure.

Per section 95(3) of the Act, the parties may be fined up to \$5,000.00 if they record this hearing: "A person who contravenes or fails to comply with a decision or an order made by the director commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine of not more than \$5,000.00."

BP affirmed that he believes he left the notice of hearing in the landlord's mailbox on December 28, 2022. BP did not observe the landlord receiving the notice of hearing.

Section 89(1) of the Act states:

(1)An application for dispute resolution or a decision of the director to proceed with a review under Division 2 of Part 5, when required to be given to one party by another, must be given in one of the following ways:

(a)by leaving a copy with the person;

(b)if the person is a landlord, by leaving a copy with an agent of the landlord;

(c)by sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the person resides or, if the person is a landlord, to the address at which the person carries on business as a landlord;

(d)if the person is a tenant, by sending a copy by registered mail to a forwarding address provided by the tenant;

(e)as ordered by the director under section 71 (1) [director's orders: delivery and service of documents];

(f)by any other means of service provided for in the regulations.

Residential Tenancy Branch Policy Guideline 12 states:

The decision whether to make an order that a document has been sufficiently served in accordance with the Legislation or that a document not served in accordance with the Legislation is sufficiently given or served for the purposes of the Legislation is a decision for the arbitrator to make on the basis of all the evidence before them.

Based on the testimony, I find the tenant failed to prove service of the notice of hearing in accordance with section 89(1) of the Act, as the Act does not authorize the tenant to serve the notice of hearing by leaving it in the landlord's mailbox. Thus, I find the tenant did not serve the notice of hearing in accordance with the Act.

Rule of Procedure 3.1 states:

3.1 Documents that must be served with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding Package

The applicant must, within three days of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding Package being made available by the Residential Tenancy Branch, serve each respondent with copies of all of the following:

a) the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding provided to the applicant by the Residential Tenancy Branch, which includes the Application for Dispute Resolution;

b) the Respondent Instructions for Dispute Resolution;

c) the dispute resolution process fact sheet (RTB-114) or direct request process fact sheet (RTB-130) provided by the Residential Tenancy Branch; and d) any other evidence submitted to the Residential Tenancy Branch directly or

through a Service BC Office with the Application for Dispute Resolution, in accordance with Rule 2.5 [Documents that must be submitted with an Application for Dispute Resolution].

(emphasis added)

As the tenant did not serve the notice of hearing in accordance with section 89(1) of the Act, I dismiss the tenant's application with leave to reapply. Leave to reapply is not an extension of the timeline to apply.

The tenant must beat the cost of the filing fee, as the tenant was not successful.

<u>Conclusion</u>

I dismiss the tenant's application with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 24, 2023

Residential Tenancy Branch